UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/769,011 | 01/30/2004 | Benjamin Y.H. Liu | M419.12-0043 | 7978 |
| | 7590 01/07/201 HAMPLIN & KELLY, | EXAMINER | | |
| SUITE 1400 | | | CHANDRA, SATISH | |
| 900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1792 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 01/07/2010 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| | 10/769,011 | LIU ET AL. | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | SATISH CHANDRA | 1792 | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | l e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of M period for reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of M period for reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of M period for reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on | lailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C | n consists only of: (1) a timely filed ar Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o | nendment which places the | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See | | mpt at a proper reply, to the non- | | |
| (d) 🛮 No reply has been received. | | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was | 5). received on (with a Certifica | ate of Mailing or Transmission dated | | |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | e of \$ is due. | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ 1 | The publication fee, if required by 37 | CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | |
| (c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | ot been received. | | | |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requallowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on | | | | |
| after the expiration of the period for reply. | | | | |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | attorney or agent of record, the assi | gnee of the entire interest, or all of | | |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | | | | |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim | | e the period for seeking court review | | |
| 7. X The reason(s) below: | | | | |
| Ms Manija Abawi per her e-mail dated 12/29/09 veri | fied with the law-firm that no resp | onse has been submitted. | | |
| /Parviz Hassanzadeh/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1792 | /Satish Chandra/ Examiner, Art Unit 1792 | | | |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra | w the holding of abandonment under 37 (| CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to | | |